

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Assess - Mills of the Friedrich and Trade day to be seen united. I = 2.1 feb. 2007 and Trade day to see a seen of the feb.

APPLICATION NO	HIING DAIL	EIRSUNAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION N	
69 XTT,X23	06 07 200]	John Dunklee	KIR 1016 068	1183	
**	er 2 - 2003				
Kevin L. Russel Suite 1600 601 SW Second Ave.			LXAMINER		
			KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
Portland, OR 97204-3157			ARLUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	).	Applicant(s)
	•	09/877.823		DUNKLEE, JOHN
Off	ice Action Summary	Examiner	, A	Art Unit
		Ernest F Karls	- !	829
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cov	er sheet with the cor	respondence address
THE MAILING  - Extensions of the after SIX (6) MC  - If the period for  - If NO period for  - Failure to reply  - Any reply received earned patent to	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO me may be available under the provisions of NTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reply specified above is less than thirty (30 reply is specified above, the maximum stat within the set or extended period for reply ved by the Office later than three months afterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, ho unication. ) days, a reply within the statutory in tutory period will apply and will expirately. It is statute, cause the application.	wever, may a reply be timely ninimum of thirty (30) days w e SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED (	filed ill be considered timely mailing date of this communication 35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		ad an 07 Juna 2001		
,	onsive to communication(s) file		6I	
, —		2b) This action is non-		er en
closed	this application is in condition in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i>	formal matters, pros e, 1935 C.D. 11, 450	Becution as to the ments is 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of C		andication		
	s) $1-77$ is/are pending in the a		eration.	
	the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from conside	eration.	
	s) is/are allowed.			
	s) is/are rejected.			
	s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊡ Claim( Application Pap	s) <u>1-77</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or election require	ment.	
	ecification is objected to by the	Evaminer		
,	wing(s) filed on is/are:		cted to by the Evami	ner
	ant may not request that any obje			
	posed drawing correction filed			
	roved, corrected drawings are req			ou by the Examiner
	h or declaration is objected to			
<i>,</i> —	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	2, and 2 annument		
-	wledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-i	(d) or (f)
	b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under	30 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a) ·	(d) 01 (t).
, —	Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been rea	bavias	
<del></del>	Certified copies of the priority of			n No
	•			
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowl	edgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).
a) 🗌 Th	e translation of the foreign land ledgment is made of a claim fo	guage provisional applica	ition has been recei	ved.
Attachment(s)	loughton to made of a diality to	s. domostio priority under	23 0.0.0, 33 120 0	···
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	<b>4</b> ) [	Interview Summary (F	PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-692) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>*</sup> sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			tent Application (PTO-152)
Satest and Trademary Of	4ra			

Application Control Number: 09 877.823

Art Unit: 2829

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16 and 26, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
  - II. Claims 17-25 and 27-29, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 2. III. Claims 30-43, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 3. IV. Claim 44, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 4. V. Claims 45-52, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 5. VI. Claims 53-62, drawn to a chuck for aerobe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 6. VII. Claims 63-67, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 7. VIII. Claims 68-72, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 8. IX. Claims 73-77, drawn to a chuck for a probe station, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- 9. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Page 3

Application Control Number: 09 877.823

Art Unit: 2829

- 10. Inventions I in a first set and II-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombinations are not required for the combination and at least claim 1 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.
- 11. Inventions II in a first set and III-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombinations are not reacquired for the combination and at least claim 17 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.
- 12. Inventions III in a first set and IV-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the

Application Control Number: 09 877.823 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombinations are not required for the combination and at least claim 30 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.

- 13. Inventions IV in a first set and V-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the combinations are not reacquired for the combination and claim 44 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.
- 14. Inventions V in a first set and VI-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP)

Application Control Number: 09 877.823 Page 5

Art Unit: 2829

§ 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombinations are not required for the combination and at least claim 45 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.

- 15. Inventions VI in a first set and VII-IX in a second set are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombinations are not required forgethe combination and at least claim 53 serves as an evidence claim that such is the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.
- 16. Inventions VII in a first set and VIII and IX are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination. The subcombinations

Application Control Number: 09 877,823

Page 6

Art Unit: 2829

are not required for the combination and at least claim 63 serves as an evidence claim that such is

the case each by themselves for their intended purpose or in a different combination.

Inventions VIII and IX are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this 17.

relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the

particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has

utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination

as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of

the subcombination are not required for the combination and at least claim 68 serves as an

evidence claim that such as the case. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself for

its intended purpose or in a different combination.

18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 19.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Karlsen ds

01/22/03

Emot Fixage ERNEST KARLSEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER